COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

APPEAL NO. 148 OF 2018 & IA NO. 456 OF 2018 & APPEAL NO. 253 OF 2018 & IA NOS. 94 & 129 OF 2019

AND

APPEAL NO. 246 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1499 OF 2018

Dated: 25th March, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. K. Patil, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member

In the matter of:

Rajasthan Urja Vikas Nigam Limited & Ors. Appellant(s)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Anand K.Ganesan

Ms. Neha Garg

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Deepak Khurana

Mr. Abhishek Bansal for R-2

Mr. Aashish Anand Bernard Mr. Paramhans Sahani for R-3

Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran Ms. Anushree Bardhan for R-4

<u>APPEAL NO. 253 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1725 OF 2018</u> <u>& IA NO. 94 OF 2019</u>

In the matter of:

D. B. Power Ltd. Appellant(s)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Deepak Khurana

Mr. Abhishek Bansal

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Aashish Anand Bernard

Mr. Paramhans Sahani for R-2

Mr. Anand K.Ganesan

Ms. Neha Garg for R 3 to 6

Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran Ms. Anushree Bardhan for R-7

Mr. S. Vallinayagam Mr. G. Umapathy Ms. S.Amali for R-8

APPEAL NO. 246 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1499 OF 2018

In the matter of:

TANGEDCO Appellant(s)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. S. Vallinayagam

Mr. G. Umapathy Ms. S.Amali

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Deepak Khurana

Mr. Abhishek Bansal for R-2

Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran Ms. Anushree Bardhan for R-3

Mr. Aashish Anand Bernard Mr. Paramhans Sahani for R-5

ORDER

APPEAL NO. 253 OF 2018 IA No. 94 of 2019 - Delay in filing reply)

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

The learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 3 to 6 submitted that, there is a delay of 13 days in filing the reply which has been explained satisfactorily in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 3 to 6, as stated above, is placed on record.

In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent Nos. 3 to 6 and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in

filing the reply, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the reply is condoned. IA No.94 of 2019, for delay in filing the reply is allowed.

<u>IA No. 129 of 2019 – For amendments)</u>

Two weeks' time is granted at the request of learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No.3 to 6 to file reply to the IA No. 129 of 2019 i.e. on or before 09.04.2019 after duly serving copy to the other side.

One week's time is granted at the request of the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant to file affidavit of service.

APPEAL NO. 246 OF 2018 & IA NO. 1499 OF 2018

The learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the Respondents submitted that pleadings are complete.

Submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant and the Respondents, as stated supra, are placed on record.

List these matters on 22.04.2019.

(Ravindra Kumar Verma)
Technical Member

(Justice N. K. Patil) Judicial Member